William J. Graham, PC

Attorney at Law 982 Montauk Highway Suite 2 Bayport, New York 11705

> (631) 231-2954 Fax (631) 231-3296

November 20, 2017

Hon. Steven I. Locke U.S. Magistrate Judge United States District Court Eastern District of New York 100 Federal Plaza Central Islip, NY 11722

> Re: Defeo v. County of Suffolk. N.Y. et al Civil Docket: 16 Civ.5150 (SJF)(SIL)

Dear Magistrate Locke:

I write to respond to Suffolk County Attorney's office Motion for Protective Order, specifically, the subpoena directed to the Suffolk County Police Department. I wrote to Mr. Lozar on November 16, 2017, and suggested that the parties enter into a Protective Order. Enclosed is a copy of my correspondence. The form provided is from the Eastern District Court's website. To date, I have had no response to my letter.

In the final paragraph of Mr. Lozar's letter to the Court dated November 9, 2017, he indicates that he has no objection to a stipulated Protective Order. We therefore respectfully request that the Court direct the parties to enter into a Protective Order, together with such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Very truly yours,

WILLIAM J. GRAHAM (WG (421)

/enc.

Cc: Ryan Lozar, Esq.

Suffolk County Attorney's Office.

William J. Graham, PC

Attorney at Law 982 Montauk Highway Suite 2 Bayport, New York 11705

> (631) 231-2954 Fax (631) 231-3296

November 16, 2017

Ryan Lozar, Esq. Law Office of Ryan Lozar, PC 305 Broadway, 10TH Floor New York, NY 11980

Re: Defeo v. County of Suffolk, N.Y. et al

Dear Mr. Lozar,

Enclosed is the standard form protective order which I downloaded from the Eastern District Court website. I'm certain that there are documents and testimony your client does not want made public and I believe a stipulated protective order is appropriate.

In your letter to the Court requesting an extension, you stated I was previously served (I was handed the document as we walked into the conference) and you stated that I had no objection to the subpoena. What I said was that I probably wouldn't object, but at that point I had not examined the subpoena. Kindly refrain from making representations to the Court on my behalf.

Lastly, you had mentioned to the Eastern District Mediation Program and I am willing to speak to my client about it but it makes little sense to engage in the process if we are going to spend funds in discovery that could be used to settle the case.

Very truly yours,

WILLIAM J. GRAHAM

WJG/mf Enc. Appendix A

CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER

It is hereby ordered that the following provisions shall govern claims of confidentiality in these proceedings:

(a)	The following documents and information may be designated as 'confidential' provided such documents are not public and have not previously been disclosed by the producing party to anyone except those in its employment or those retained by it [check all that apply]:
	Sensitive Commercial Data, such as confidential or proprietary research, development, manufacturing, or commercial or business information, trade secrets, special formulas, company security matters, customer lists, financial data, projected sales data, production data, matters relating to mergers and acquisitions, and pricing data.
	Sensitive Personal Data, such as personal identifiers, financial information, tax records, and employer personnel records.
	Medical and Legal Records, including medical files and reports.
	Non-public criminal history.
(b)	If any party believes a document not described in the above paragraph should nevertheless be considered confidential, it may make application to the Court. Such application shall only be granted for good cause shown.
(c)	An attorney for the producing party may designate documents or parts thereof as confidential by stamping the word "confidential" on each page.
	If such information is provided in an answer to an interrogatory, the attorney may separately append the information to the main body of the interrogatory responses, mark such appendices 'confidential,' and incorporate by reference the appended material into the responses.
	At the time of a deposition or within 10 days after receipt of the deposition transcript, a party may designate as confidential specific portions of the transcript which contain confidential matters under the standards set forth in paragraph (a) above. This designation shall be in writing and served upon all counsel. No objection shall be interposed at deposition that an answer would elicit confidential information. Transcripts will be treated as confidential for this 10-day period. Any portions of a transcript designated confidential shall thereafter be treated as confidential in accordance with this order. The confidential portion of the transcript and any exhibits referenced solely therein shall be bound in a separate volume and marked "Confidential Information" by the reporter.
(d)	Documents designated 'confidential' shall be shown only to the attorneys, parties, experts, actual or proposed witnesses, court personnel and other persons necessary to review the documents for the prosecution or defense of this lawsuit. Each person who is permitted to see confidential documents shall first be shown a copy of this order and shall further be advised of the obligation to honor the confidential designation. The

- parties agree that any confidential discovery material produced in this litigation may only be used in connection with this litigation.
- (e) Review of the confidential documents and information by counsel, experts, or consultants for the litigants in the litigation shall not waive the confidentiality of the documents or objections to production.
- (f) The inadvertent, unintentional, or *in camera* disclosure of a confidential document and information shall not generally be deemed a waiver, in whole or in part, of any party's claims of confidentiality. If at any time prior to trial, a producing party realizes that some portion(s) of the discovery material that the party produced should be designated as 'confidential,' the party may so designate by apprising all parties in writing, and providing that the material has not already been published or otherwise disclosed, such portion(s) shall thereafter be treated as confidential under this order.
- (g) If a party believes that a document designated or sought to be designated confidential by the producing party does not warrant such designation, the party shall first make a good-faith effort to resolve such a dispute with opposing counsel. In the event that such a dispute cannot be resolved by the parties, either party may apply to the Court for a determination as to whether the designation is appropriate. The burden rests on the party seeking confidentiality to demonstrate that such designation is proper.
- (h) If any court filing incorporates confidential material or would reveal its contents, the portions of such filing shall be delivered to the Court in a sealed envelope prominently bearing the caption of this action and the label "Confidential. Filed Under Seal." Counsel shall file under seal those and only those specific documents and that deposition testimony designated confidential, and only those specific portions of briefs, applications, and other filings that contain verbatim confidential data, or that set forth the substance of such confidential information, unless independent good cause is demonstrated.
- (i) Within a reasonable period after the conclusion of the litigation, all confidential material shall be returned to the respective producing parties or destroyed by the recipients.
- (j) In any application to the Court referred to or permitted by this Order, the Court may exercise discretion in determining whether the prevailing party in such a dispute may recover the costs incurred by it and, if so, the amount to be awarded.
- (k) This Court shall retain jurisdiction over all persons subject to this Order to the extent necessary to enforce any obligations arising hereunder.

Dated:	United States Magistrate Judge	
Dated:	Counsel for	
Dated:	Counsel for	
Dated.	Counsel for	

Datad.